


FP 3698

FIRE RESISTANCE OF A 1 HOUR STEEL FRAMED PLASTERBOARD LINED WALL WITH EDGE SEALS AND PENETRATIONS

Author: Greg Overton
Fire Engineer



Reviewer: M. E. Godkin
Senior Fire Testing Engineer
IANZ Approved Signatory



All tests reported herein have been undertaken at the BRANZ Ltd laboratories located in Judgeford, Porirua, New Zealand, unless stated otherwise.

Contact: BRANZ PTY Ltd
Unit 3/20 West Street
Brookvale
NSW 2100
Australia
Tel: +61 2 9938 6011
Fax: +61 2 9938 6911
www.branz.com.au



International Accreditation New Zealand (IANZ) has a Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) with the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA), such that both organisations recognise accreditations by IANZ and NATA as being equivalent. Users of test reports are recommended to accept test reports in the name of either accrediting body.



CONDITIONS OF ISSUE OF BRANZ REPORTS

The issue of this Report is subject to the conditions set out below:

Rights

- BRANZ reserves all rights in the Report. The Report is entitled to the full protection given by the Australian Copyright Act 1968 to BRANZ. Except as specified below, the client shall not publish any part of a Report.
- The Client will have no rights to use a Report unless full payment of the fees has been made to BRANZ.

Publication of Reports

- The Client shall accurately report any information from BRANZ and shall indemnify BRANZ against any damages related to misrepresentation.
- If the Client has proprietary rights to an Item reported on (e.g. is the manufacturer, accredited agent of the manufacturer, owner), the Client may:
 - a) publish the Report verbatim and in full, or
 - b) state that the Item has been the subject of a Report by BRANZ, provided a full copy of the Report is provided to any third Party requesting it. In New Zealand and Australia such reference to a Report is permitted in technical literature but not in advertising or electronic media, unless the item is BRANZ Appraised.*
- The CEO's prior written consent must be obtained before:
 - a) any extract or abridgement of a Report is published.
 - b) the Report is used in or referred to in connection with any company prospectus or publicly issued report.
- If the client does not have proprietary rights to an item, the CEO's prior written consent must be obtained before any reference to, extract from, or abridgement of a report is published.
- BRANZ reserves the right to confirm, to a third party, the validity of any written statement made by the Client which refers to a Report.
- A Report does not imply approval by BRANZ of any Item for any particular purpose and therefore no statement shall state or imply approval by BRANZ.

*In New Zealand and Australia BRANZ allows the use of the following means of referring to a BRANZ Report to support relevant technical claims in Technical Literature:

1. The publishing of a statement that the product has been the subject of a BRANZ Report, provided the statement includes the Report Number, date of issues and date of review.
- or
2. The publishing of words of the Client's choice but only with the approval of BRANZ Chief Executive. Any alterations or amendments, or conditions imposed must be complied with.

(Technical Literature is defined as written material intended to support claims of compliance with a national Building Code and fitness for purpose. It would also be material containing, as a minimum, product specifications, installation instructions, and maintenance requirements.)

FIRE RESISTANCE OF A 1 HOUR STEEL FRAMED PLASTERBOARD LINED WALL WITH EDGE SEALS AND PENETRATIONS

1. CLIENT

Ramset Fasteners (Aust) Pty Ltd
Marcondah Highway
Croydon North
Victoria
Australia

2. TEST STANDARD

2.1 Test Specification

The test was conducted to AS 1530.4-1990, Fire resistance tests of elements of building construction, and AS 4072.1-1992, Service penetrations and control joints. In accordance with the standards, the fire resistance of the specimen is the time, expressed in minutes, to failure under one or more of the following criteria:

2.2 Integrity

Failure shall be deemed to occur when cracks, fissures or other openings develop through which flames or hot furnace gases can pass to the unexposed side of the penetrated element.

2.3 Insulation

Failure shall be deemed to occur when the temperature of any of the relevant thermocouples attached to the unexposed face of the test specimen rises by more than 180 K above the initial temperature.

3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMEN

3.1 General

The test specimen consisted of a non-loadbearing nominal 2200 mm high x 1000 mm wide steel framed wall, lined on both faces with one layer of 16 mm Boral Firestop gypsum plaster board. The construction was in accordance with the Boral specification for a 1 hour fire rated wall system SS5 except for variation of edge detail to test the method of finishing the edges at the sides and top of the wall. The wall also included a vertical expansion joint 600 mm from the left hand edge, a pipe penetration and a cable penetration in the left hand half and an electrical socket outlet in each of the exposed and unexposed faces of the lining.

All dimensions are nominal unless otherwise stated.

3.2 Framing

The steel frame was constructed using 64 mm x 35 mm x 0.6 mm thick galvanised steel lipped channel studs fitted into galvanised steel channel runners at the top and bottom. The bottom channels were 65 mm x 32 mm x 0.6 mm thick and the top channels were 66 mm x 50 mm x 0.8 mm thick.

GEO
GEO


MG

There were four studs in total with one stud each side and two studs back to back with a separation of 20 mm to form the expansion joint. The top and bottom channels were fixed to the specimen holder using two bolts at the top and two at the bottom at 600 mm and 800 mm centres respectively. The side studs were not fixed to the specimen holder.

3.3 Lining

The specimen was lined on both sides with one layer of nominal 16 mm thick Boral Firestop gypsum plasterboard. The measured thickness of the lining was 15.9 mm.

The sheets were fixed to the studs at 200 mm centres using nominal 3 mm diameter and 32 mm long Streaker countersunk head screws. Adjacent screws on the centre studs were staggered. The lining was not fixed to the channel runners at top and bottom.

All screw heads were stopped.

3.4 Edge Detail

All lining sheets were cut and fitted to leave a gap between the edge of the sheet and the specimen holder at both sides and head of the specimen and between the edges of the sheets at the expansion joint. The gap widths were as follows:

Specimen A - Deflection head	20 mm
Specimen B - Left hand edge	15 mm
Specimen C - Right hand edge	10 mm
Specimen D - Expansion joint	20 mm

At the top and side edges Ramset Blazebrake 201 sealant was gunned into the gap to a thickness of 13 mm.

Polyurethane foam backing strip, 25 mm wide x 25 mm thick, was compressed into the gap at the expansion joint. Ramset Blazebrake 201 sealant was gunned into the gap to a thickness of 13 mm.

The lower half of the joint was covered with a section of Rondo P35 Control Joint. This consisted of two perforated galvanised steel angle strips over the edge of the plasterboard joined together within the joint by an extruded PVC flexible plastic strip. As fitted, the plastic was recessed approximately 3 mm below the surface of the plasterboard lining.

In each case the same method of sealing the gap was used in the corresponding gap on the other side of the wall.

3.5 Penetrations

3.5.1 Specimen E – Copper Pipe

Opening: A 100 mm diameter hole through both faces of the wall.

Penetration: A 75 mm copper pipe. Outside diameter 76 mm, wall thickness 1.8 mm.

Seal: A sleeve 80 mm long x 100 mm diameter formed from 0.6 mm thick galvanised steel was installed around the pipe spanning the linings of the wall. The annular gap between the pipe and the sleeve was sealed to a depth of 16 mm from each face of the wall using gun applied Ramset Blazebrake 201.

GEO
GEO

A half circumference, steel mesh radiation guard 450 mm long x approximately 240 mm diameter was fitted concentrically around the right vertical half of the pipe and secured to the pipe with metal strips clamped to the pipe.

3.5.2 Specimen F – Cable Bundle

Opening: An 80 mm diameter hole through both faces of the wall.

Penetration: A bundle of electrical and telecommunication cables of overall diameter approximately 65 mm and including the following cables:

Number of cables	Outside diameter mm	Conductors No. x diam. mm
1	21	37 x 1.8
1	17	4 @ (7 x 1) + 1 @ (7 x 0.65)
2	16	4 @ (7 x 1)
2	12.5	4 @ (7 x 0.6)
2	11	24 @ (5 x 0.16)
1	11 x 7.5	2 x 1.8
2	10.5	19 x 1.6
1	9	19 x 1.3
1	8	7 x 1
1	4.2	7 x 0.8

Seal: The annular gaps between the bundle of cables and the plasterboard on each face were sealed using gun applied Ramset Blazebrake 201 to the full depth of the lining. All visible gaps between cables in the bundle were also sealed with the sealant.

3.5.3 Specimen G - Socket Outlets

A double, 10 amp electrical socket outlet was installed in each face of the wall at a height of 300 mm above the floor level. Each outlet was fixed to a standard 93 mm high x 52 mm wide x 42 mm deep metal flush box within the wall cavity. The flush boxes were screw fixed to short lengths of vertical metal stud which were in turn fixed in place with screws through the wall lining. The exposed socket outlet was positioned 300 mm from the right hand edge of the wall and the unexposed outlet was positioned 300 mm from the left hand edge as viewed from the unexposed face.

Two layers of 2.5 mm thick Intumex L were placed inside the back of each of the flush boxes.

3.6 Plans and Specifications

Copies of client-supplied drawings of Specimens A, B, D, E and F are shown in Figure 9.


4. TEST PROCEDURE

4.1 General

The specimen was tested on 8 November 1994 at Branz Ltd laboratories, Judgeford, New Zealand. The ambient temperature at the beginning of the test was 15 °C.

The specimen was placed against the 2.2 m x 1 m furnace and the temperature and pressure conditions were controlled to the limits defined in AS 1530.4-1990. The test was terminated after 77 minutes from the commencement of the test.

GEO
GEO


MG

4.2 Furnace Temperature Measurement

Temperature measurement within the furnace was made using four chromel-alumel thermocouples uniformly distributed in a vertical plane approximately 100 mm back from the exposed face of the specimen

4.3 Specimen Temperature Measurement

In order to monitor heat conduction through the systems, 48 chromel-alumel thermocouples mounted on copper discs, in accordance with clause 2.2.3.2 of the AS 1530.4 test standard, were attached to the specimen. The arrangement consisted of thermocouples placed as specified in clause 10.3.2(f) of the test standard specification. Thermocouples were placed on the unexposed surface of the wall at the approximate quarters, on the wall 25 mm from the two penetrations (designated in the figures as "wall"), on the penetration seals and edge seals and each half of the centre expansion joint (designated as "seal"), on the pipe and bundle of cables at 25 mm and 400 mm from the wall (designated "inner pipe", "outer pipe", "inner cables", "outer cables" respectively) on the radiation screen at 25 mm and 400 mm from the wall (designated "inner screen" and "outer screen") and one on the unexposed face plate of the socket outlet. The positions of these thermocouples are shown in Figure 8.

Additional thermocouples were also placed on and within the specimen for information purposes.

4.4 Temperature Recording

All the thermocouples described in section 4.2 and 4.3 were connected to a computer controlled data acquisition unit which sampled the temperatures at one minute intervals.

4.5 Pressure Measurement

As required by the test standard, the differential pressure within the furnace was controlled to be not less than 8 Pa above the laboratory atmosphere at the position of the lowest penetration, which was the socket outlets. The differential pressure was monitored using a micromanometer connected to a continuously reading recorder.

5. RESULTS

5.1 Severity of the Test

The severity of the fire resistance test can be established by comparison of the area under the curve of mean furnace temperature with the area under the standard curve for the same period. Figure 1 shows the time-temperature curve from the standard in relation to the actual mean furnace temperature. The severity of this test for 77 minutes was 100%.

5.2 Integrity

There were no failures of integrity for the duration of the test.

5.3 Insulation

The time to insulation failure of each specimen is shown in Table 1.

Figure 2 shows the average and maximum temperature rise of the thermocouples placed at the quarter points of the wall.

GEO
GEO

MG

Figures 3 to 7 show the time/temperature rise graphs for the maximum temperature rise measured by the thermocouples placed on each specimen.

5.3.1 Specimen D

At 71 minutes the temperature rise on the seal of the upper expansion joint exceeded 180 K.

At 75 minutes the temperature rise on the plastic strip within the groove of the lower expansion joint exceeded 180 K. Temperatures measured by thermocouples placed on the surface of this joint did not exceed 180 K rise for the duration of the test.

5.3.2 Specimen E

At 18 minutes the temperature rise on the pipe 25 mm from the wall exceeded 180 K.

At 35 minutes the temperature rise on the seal of the pipe exceeded 180 K.

At 66 minutes the temperature rise on the wall 25 mm from the pipe exceeded 180 K.

5.3.3 Specimen F

At 62 minutes the temperature rise on the cables at 25 mm from the wall exceeded 180 K.

At 70 minutes the temperature rise on the seal of the cables exceeded 180 K.

5.3.4 Specimen G

At 48 minutes 50 seconds the temperature measured with a roving thermocouple on the intumescent within the flush box on the unexposed face of the wall was 253 °C which was a temperature rise in excess of 180 K above the ambient temperature of 15 °C. This constituted a failure to the insulation criterion of the test standard.

There were no other failures of insulation for the duration of the test.

5.4 Observations

At 4 minutes the plastic face plate of the exposed socket outlet began to melt and flow down the wall. By 8 minutes the plate had completely fallen away.

Similarly at 4 minutes the metal joint cover over the lower exposed expansion joint had begun to move away from the wall and by 8 minutes was clear of the exposed face of the wall.

At 22 minutes the sealant around the pipe on the unexposed side of the wall had expanded approximately 10-12 mm from the face of the wall.

At 42 minutes staining was observed around the socket outlet on the unexposed face of the wall. This increased until 44 minutes when the face plate of the socket outlet began to move away out of the wall. This continued until it melted and slid down the wall at 47 minutes 45 seconds. The remaining flush box was partially filled with the intumescent material to within approximately 35 mm of the unexposed face of the wall.

At the end of the test there had been no gaps or fissures develop in the wall, seals or penetrations and no flaming and hence no failure of integrity of any specimen.

6. SUMMARY

6.1 Fire Resistance

Table 1 summarises the performance, under integrity and insulation, of each specimen.
Table 1 - Time to Failure

SPECIMEN	TIME TO FAILURE (minutes)	
	INTEGRITY	INSULATION
A	77 NF	77 NF
B	77 NF	77 NF
C	77 NF	77 NF
D Upper	77 NF	71
D Lower	77 NF	75
E	77 NF	18 77 NF*
F	77 NF	62
G	77 NF	48

NF = No failure up to the termination of the test at 77 minutes

* Time to insulation failure if the radiation screen was completely surrounding the specimen

6.2 Fire-resistance level (FRL)

For the purposes of the building regulations in Australia, the seals and penetrations achieved fire resistance levels (FRL) as shown in Table 2.

Table 2 - Fire Resistance Levels

Specimen	FRL
A	-/60/60
B	-/60/60
C	-/60/60
D	-/60/60
E without screen	-/60/0
E with screen	-/60/60
F	-/60/60
G	-/60/30

The test standard requires the following statement to be included in the report: “The results of these fire tests may be used to directly assess fire hazard but it should be recognised that a single test method will not provide a full assessment of fire hazard under all fire conditions.”

GEO
GEO

7. ATTACHMENTS

Figure	1	Furnace Temperatures
	2	Temperature Rise - Wall
	3	Temperature Rise - Specimens A, B, C
	4	Temperature Rise - Specimen D
	5	Temperature Rise - Specimen E
	6	Temperature Rise - Specimen F
	7	Temperature Rise - Specimen G
	8	Thermocouple positions
	9	Ramset Drawings of Specimens A, B, D, E, F

GEO
GEO

BRANZ 


Report number: FP 3698

Date of Issue: 13 September 2006


Page 9 of 15 Pages

MG
MG

Fig 1 Furnace Temperatures



GEO
GEO



MG

Fig 2 Temperature Rise- Wall





Fig 3 Temperature Rise - Specimens A, B, & C



GEO
GEO

BRANZ 


MG

Fig 4 Temperature Rise- Specimen D





Fig 5 Temperature Rise - Specimen E



GEO
GEO

MG

Fig 6 Temperature Rise- Specimen F




Fig 7 Temperature Rise - Specimen G





Fig 8 Thermocouple Positions




○ Thermocouple

GEO
GEO

MG

Fig 9 Ramset Drawings of Specimens A, B, D, E, F



GEO
 GEO

MG